EXHIBIT

12

```
1
                                                   Pages 1-28
 2
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 3
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 4
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP
 5
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 6
                    Plaintiff,
 7
                                         ) No. CV-11-00162 WHA
                 VS.
 8
     SAMSUNG SDI CO. LTD.,
 9
                                         )SAN FRANCISCO, CA
                                         )TUESDAY, MAY 17, 2011
                    Defendants.
10
                                         )3:20 p.m.
11
                        TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12
     APPEARANCES:
13
    For the Plaintiff:
                            UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
14
                            ANTITRUST DIVISION
                             SAN FRANCISCO FIELD OFFICE
                             450 Golden Gate Avenue - Rm. 10-0101
15
                             San Francisco, CA 94102
16
                            BY: MAY LEE HEYE, ESQ.
17
    For Defendants:
                            SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON, LLP
                            Four Embarcadero Center- 4th Floor
18
                             San Francisco, CA 94111
                            (415)434-9100
19
                            BY: GARY L. HALLING, ESQ.
20
                            and JAMES L. McGINNIS, ESQ.
21
22
     REPORTED BY: MARGARET "MARGO" GURULE, CCR
23
                   Pro Tem Court Reporter - USDC
24
25
```

May 17, 2011 3:20 p.m. 1 2 000 3 PROCEEDINGS 4 THE COURT: Welcome. Please be seated. 5 Just to report the good news that Ed Chen has just been 6 sworn in as the new U.S. District Judge. I was down -- I had 7 the honor to attend that little ceremony. So we're now ready to go. Let's call the next case. 8 THE CLERK: Calling Criminal 11-00162, United States vs. 9 10 Samsung SDI Corporation. 11 MR. HALLING: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Gary Halling and Jim McGinnis for Samsung SDI. 12 Also here is our official corporate representative, 1.3 Mr. Sang Soo Noh. And with him is Mr. Stephen Bong-Han Kim of 14 15 the Samsung SDI Legal Department. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Welcome to all of you. 17 MS. HEYE: May Lee Heye for the United States. 18 THE COURT: All right. Welcome to you. 19 MS. HEYE: Thank you. 20 THE COURT: So what is our plan for the case? 21 MR. HALLING: Well, Your Honor, we have submitted an 22 amended plea agreement, and we are prepared to enter a plea 23 today. 24 THE COURT: All right. So we will take the plea, send it 25 out for the presentence report, come back for a sentencing

hearing in about 90 days. 1 2 That's what you want to do? 3 MR. HALLING: That's correct, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. Good. So are there any -- let's 5 just go over what the proposed deal is. So Ms. May Lee Heye, 6 right? 7 MS. HEYE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Tell me what the deal is here so I 8 9 can have that in mind as we go through this. 10 MS. HEYE: Sure, Your Honor. 11 Samsung SDI's plea agreement is being entered pursuant to 12 Rule 11(c)(1)(C). Samsung SDI agrees to plead guilty to a one count 13 information charging a violation of 15 U.S.C. Section 1 for 14 15 fixing prices, reducing output, and allocating market shares. It will pay a criminal fine of \$32 million. 16 17 Would you like me to go through the cooperation 18 provisions? 19 THE COURT: Well, there were some things about who you 20 would not prosecute and so forth. So tell me what that is. 21 MS. HEYE: Certainly, Your Honor. On page 8 in paragraph 22 12b. there are certain individuals that have been identified in 23 the plea agreement. There are four individuals. Those 24 individuals are carved out of the plea agreement. 25 However, the United States has agreed that it will not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the plea agreement.

file further criminal charges against Samsung SDI or its related entities or current or former employees, excluding the individuals I just referred to for their participation in any conspiracy involving CDTs or CPTs prior to the date of the plea agreement. We have also agreed not to seek restitution pending the civil cases related to this matter, and we have also agreed to recommend that Samsung SDI be given no term of probation. THE COURT: That they be given what? That they be given a term of probation? MS. HEYE: That they be given no term of probation, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, I mean, we can't put a corporation in jail, so what else is there other than paying a fine? MS. HEYE: They pay the fine and the special assessment, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. And these individuals that you have carved out --MS. HEYE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: -- what will become of them? What is their status going to be? MS. HEYE: Their status has not yet been determined, but the government is continuing its investigation. We will handle them individually. They will not be covered by the protections

The maximum fine is how much under the 1 2 statutes? 3 MS. HEYE: I'm sorry? 4 THE COURT: The maximum fine is \$100 million, right? MS. HEYE: Yes, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: So \$32 million versus \$100 million. Okay. 7 if the Court were to decide that \$32.5 million is the right fine, then would the defendant have the right to withdraw from 8 9 the plea agreement? 10 MS. HEYE: Yes, they would, Your Honor. This is a (C) 11 deal. THE COURT: So I don't know the answer to that. I haven't 12 1.3 got a clue what the right answer here is. 14 Tell me the part about restitution. 15 MS. HEYE: Your Honor, we -- the United States has agreed 16 not to seek restitution. As you know, there are pending civil 17 cases before Judge Conti, and we have agreed that that is part 18 of the recommended sentence. 19 So as Your Honor has indicated, you would like to accept 20 the quilty plea, get a presentence report, educate yourself on 21 the issues. And at that point, you, I think, indicated that 2.2 you would give the parties an opportunity to address any other 23 concerns that you had. 24 If you felt that no restitution was appropriate, then 25 obviously we would proceed. If you did not accept that, then

we would not have a deal. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Well, does this agreement call for 3 anybody to give speeches? 4 MS. HEYE: It does not, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Why wouldn't that be a good idea? 6 MS. HEYE: Since it's a deal with a corporation, it's just 7 not something we had discussed. THE COURT: The corporation could call some of its 8 9 top-ranking people to go speak to groups and say, "Here's how I 10 almost went to prison." 11 MS. HEYE: I guess that is certainly something that we could do. It is not something we negotiated in this instance. 12 THE COURT: All right. Well, maybe that's -- I don't know 13 14 whether that's a good idea or not. But okay. The order of 15 business today is -- and we will save all those other issues of 16 what is the right answer for a future day --17 MS. HEYE: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: -- and we'll just do the plea here today. 19 who is going to actually speak for the corporation? 20 MR. HALLING: Mr. Sang Soo Noh is the person who has been 21 authorized to speak. He's present. He was authorized by the 2.2 Board. 23 And attached to the plea agreement is the formal 24 authorization from the Samsung SDI Board of Directors 25 authorizing Mr. Noh to sign the plea agreement and to enter a

```
1
    plea.
 2
          THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I'll ask him about that.
 3
     So he's knowledgeable about all of that?
 4
          MR. HALLING: He is knowledgeable to some extent, that's
 5
     correct, Your Honor.
 6
          THE COURT: All right. Well, we will see. So let's ask
 7
    him -- has the interpreter been sworn in this matter?
 8
          THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
          THE COURT: You have already last time?
10
          THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honor.
11
          THE COURT: Okay. So let's ask Mr. Noh to please stand in
     the middle and raise your right hand and take an oath to tell
12
     the truth.
1.3
                    (Defendant Representative placed under oath.)
14
          MR. NOH: Yes.
15
          THE CLERK: Please state your full name for the record.
16
17
          MR. NOH: Sang Soo Noh.
18
          THE COURT: All right. Welcome to the Court.
19
          How are you today?
20
          MR. NOH: I'm fine, Your Honor.
21
          THE COURT: Good.
22
          All right. How old are you?
23
          MR. NOH: I'm 48 years old.
24
          THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
25
          MR. NOH: I graduated from the university.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. And in what country was that?
 1
 2
         MR. NOH: In Korea.
 3
          THE COURT: Okay. And what kind of degree do you have?
 4
         MR. NOH: B.A.
 5
          THE COURT: Okay. And how do you make your living?
 6
         MR. NOH: I'm a vice-president of the financial
 7
     department.
 8
          THE COURT: Of what?
 9
         MR. NOH: Financial division.
10
         THE COURT: Of Samsung SDI Company?
11
         MR. NOH: Yes, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: Okay. And are you thinking clearly today?
12
13
         MR. NOH: Yes, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: Are under the influence of any medicine,
14
     alcohol or narcotic?
15
16
         MR. NOH: No, Your Honor.
17
          THE COURT: Are you mentally ill or being treated for any
18
    mental illness?
19
         MR. NOH: No, I am not.
20
         THE COURT: Okay. And are you an officer of Samsung SDI
21
     Company, Limited?
2.2
         MR. NOH: Yes, I am.
23
         THE COURT: And again, tell us what officer you are.
24
         MR. NOH: Vice-president of finance.
25
          THE COURT: Okay. And is the Board of Directors of
```

Samsung SDI Company, Limited, authorized to authorize you to 1 2 enter into a plea of quilty to the charge brought against 3 Samsung SDI Company in this case? 4 MR. NOH: Yes. 5 THE COURT: And has the board of directors, in fact, 6 authorized you to enter such a plea? 7 MR. NOH: Yes. THE COURT: And have you -- do you, yourself, understand 8 9 what the charges are in this case against Samsung SDI Company, 10 Limited? 11 MR. NOH: Yes, I'm aware of that. 12 THE COURT: All right. And has -- have you and others in 1.3 the company discussed with counsel, meaning the attorneys, all 14 of the ways to defend against this case? 15 MR. NOH: Yes. 16 THE COURT: And are the officers and directors of Samsung 17 SDI Company, Limited, fully satisfied with the advice of 18 counsel that they have received in this case? 19 MR. NOH: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Is Samsung SDI Company, Limited, financially 21 able to pay the fine that is agreed upon in your amended plea 2.2 agreement? 23 MR. NOH: Yes. 24 THE COURT: All right. I understand that your willingness 25 to plead guilty, meaning Samsung, SDI Company, Limited's,

```
willingness to plead quilty is a result of discussions between
 1
 2
     your lawyers and the government lawyers that led up to this
 3
     amended plea agreement. Is that true?
 4
         MR. NOH: Yes.
 5
          THE COURT: All right. And did you -- did they read this
 6
     agreement to you in Korean?
 7
         MR. NOH: Yes.
          THE COURT: Did you understand it?
 8
 9
         MR. NOH: Yes, I did.
10
         THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorneys?
11
         MR. NOH: Yes, we did.
          THE COURT: And does this agreement contain all of your
12
1.3
     complete agreement with the U.S. Government?
14
         MR. NOH: Yes.
          THE COURT: All right. So let's see, this is a Section 1
15
16
     case. So I guess the elements of the count are not summarized
17
    here anywhere, are they? I think I know them.
18
         MS. HEYE: I'm happy to read them, Your Honor, if you
    would like.
19
20
          THE COURT: Let's just see. Do you have the information
21
    with you?
2.2
         MS. HEYE: Um-hum.
23
         THE COURT: Could I see that?
24
         MS. HEYE: Yes.
25
          THE COURT: All right. Under this agreement, your --
```

```
Samsung SDI Company, Limited, would be pleading guilty to a
 1
 2
     count of violating Section 1 of the Sherman Act. And basically
 3
     that is it makes illegal conspiring to -- conspiring with some
 4
     other company to restrain trade in foreign or domestic
 5
     commerce. And the particular things that are charged in this
 6
     case basically go to what? Tell me -- tell us what they are in
 7
     summary form, you, the government, please --
         MS. HEYE: Well, the elements of the Sherman --
 8
          THE COURT: -- Ms. Heye.
 9
                     Sure. The elements of the Sherman Act Section
10
11
     1 are first that the defendant entered into a conspiracy.
     conspiracy was an unreasonable restraint of trade. And the
12
     conspiracy affected interstate commerce in the United States.
1.3
14
          THE COURT: All right. And what, was it price fixing?
15
         MS. HEYE: It was price -- I am prepared to read a factual
16
     basis, Your Honor, if that would be helpful.
17
          THE COURT: Well, how long is that?
         MS. HEYE: One page.
18
19
          THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you go ahead and do that, and
20
     then I'll -- is that the same one that's in the agreement?
21
         MS. HEYE: Yes, Your Honor.
22
          THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to come to that.
23
         MS. HEYE: Okay.
24
          THE COURT: So you don't have to do that.
25
         MS. HEYE: Okay. But it is price-fixing, reduction of
```

output, and market share allegations. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. So those are the things that the 3 government is accusing Samsung of agreeing with some other 4 company to restrain trade, and that affects the commerce in the 5 United States. That's the basic claim, and that breaks down 6 into price-fixing and restricting output. What was the third 7 thing? MS. HEYE: Market share allegations. 8 THE COURT: Market share allegations, all of which are per 9 10 se violations under Section 1. 11 Do you understand that? 12 MR. NOH: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Now -- so if you were to plead quilty to that -- and we will come to that in a minute -- under the 14 15 statute, the individual people go to prison when they do this. But in this case, we don't have individual people. 16 17 company, and you can't put a company in prison. So they just 18 get to pay a fine. And the statute authorizes a fine up to \$100 million, plus 19 20 there is a special assessment of \$100, right? 21 MS. HEYE: It's actually \$400, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: \$400. So \$400 is mandatory. And then there 23 is a fine of anywhere from zero to \$100 million. 24 Do you understand that part? 25 MR. NOH: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. In addition, even though the 1 2 government has said they won't seek it, the Court could require 3 restitution. I'll come to the affect of your agreement on that 4 in a minute. But under the statute, restitution is a possibility. Do 5 6 you understand that part? 7 MR. NOH: Yes. THE COURT: All right. And then is there supervised 8 9 release under the statute? 10 MS. HEYE: A term of probation is also part of the 11 maximum -- potential maximum penalty. THE COURT: And that would be -- what does the statute 12 1.3 authorize? 14 MS. HEYE: It is at least one year but no more than five 15 years. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So also the judge could impose -- must 17 impose, it sounds like, one year, right? 18 MS. HEYE: Under a maximum penalty. 19 THE COURT: And then up to five years of probation in 20 addition to the fine. 21 Do you understand? 22 MR. NOH: Yes. THE COURT: Good. All right. So this is also a felony 23 24 that Samsung will be pleading guilty to. 25 Do you understand that part?

MR. NOH: Yes.

1.3

THE COURT: So I guess it's fair to say -- and tell me if

I'm wrong -- but this plea could be entered in the -- with

collateral consequences in the civil litigation, right?

Couldn't they just put this in evidence as the evidence

directly against Samsung?

MR. HALLING: There is a provision, Your Honor, of the Clayton Act that addresses the affect of a conviction. And so the answer — the short answer is yes, it's pursuant to the terms of a particular statute. It's part of the Clayton Act.

THE COURT: Okay. So do you understand that at least there is a risk that, by pleading guilty, Samsung will be prejudicing itself in the civil litigation by making it easier to prove that Samsung engaged in a conspiracy.

Do you understand?

MR. NOH: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And then let me go through what the procedure we would follow would be. After you plead guilty, assuming you do, then I would refer Samsung to the probation department, and a presentence report would be prepared. That's going to take us about 90 days. Then we come back here in about 90 days and have a sentencing hearing.

And at the sentencing hearing, the purpose is to decide on the lowest, in this case, fine, that would carry out the sentencing objectives of Congress such as the need for

1.3

2.2

deterrence, the need to reflect the seriousness of the offense and so forth.

You would have the right to be heard. The lawyers would have the right to be heard. And then the judge would have to make a decision on what is the right answer. And the presentence report is a very important document in that process, so you would participate in its preparation. You would have the right to comment on its accuracy and to make objections to it if you thought it was inaccurate. We would then have that hearing.

I don't know the answer. It could be that I think \$32 million is too much. It could be that I think \$32 million is too little. It could be that I think \$32 million is close enough and let's just go with that. I don't know. That's why we have the hearing.

But here is the good part for you: If I or the judge decides that it should be more than \$32 million, then fine, then Samsung has the option to either take the higher amount. I don't know what it could be, but whatever it is, take the higher fine and just pay it, or say, "No, that's not right, we don't like that deal," and withdraw your guilty plea, which you would have the right to do under this agreement, and take your chances at trial.

That would be your right, to do either one of those two. So you could either take the higher amount and pay that or ask

to withdraw your quilty plea. That would, of course, be 1 2 granted. Then you would go to trial and you would take your 3 chances at trial. 4 Do you understand? 5 MR. NOH: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Good. 7 All right. Now, again, I want to emphasize, I don't have 8 any idea what the right answer here is. And we just have to wait and see, go through the process and find out. 9 10 But you do have an important measure of protection, given 11 that this is a plea agreement that your lawyers have gotten you this additional advantage of being able to withdraw the plea of 12 quilty if it turns out that you don't like -- if the sentence 13 14 is higher than \$32 million. 15 On the other hand, if I were to sentence Samsung to pay 16 the \$32 million, you would be stuck with that, meaning Samsung 17 would be stuck with its guilty plea and could not get out of 18 that. 19 Do you understand that? 20 MR. NOH: Yes. Yes, I do. 21 THE COURT: All right. I want to change the subject for a 22 minute and explain the rights to go to trial. 23 Has Samsung fully discussed with counsel its right to go 24 to trial and its right to make the government prove the case

25

against it?

MR. NOH: Yes, they did.

THE COURT: Let's go over what those rights are. Under our system, no matter how guilty Samsung is, you have the perfect right to say to the government, "Okay, prove it," and make the government prove the case against you.

And sometimes even though somebody is guilty, the government just doesn't have the proof to prove it.

So that is a very important right, and you would be giving that right up.

Under our system, the burden of proof is always on -- the burden of proof is always on the government. It's never, never on the defendant, and the government has to call witnesses.

Samsung has a right to be here with its representatives, to see and hear all of the testimony offered against it, and work with the lawyers for the best possible cross-examination of all of those witnesses.

The government, after it rests its case, Samsung would have a right to put on a defense. There is no corporation fifth Amendment, is there? I don't think so.

MS. HEYE: I don't believe so.

THE COURT: All right. So Samsung would have a right to put on a defense, call witnesses on its behalf, and we would subpoena those witnesses as necessary to make them show up and testify.

We would obligate them to tell the truth by putting them

under oath.

1.3

Now, when all of the evidence was before the jury, the jury would have to decide whether the government has carried its burden of proof. That means all 12 people on the jury would have to agree that the government had proven beyond a reasonable doubt each and every element of the offense. That's Section 1 of the Sherman Act.

And if the jury said yes, the government had done that, then the jury would be obligated to return a guilty verdict.

On the other hand, if even one member of the 12-person jury thought that the government had fallen short on even one element of its proof, that jury would not be allowed to convict Samsung.

Do you understand that?

MR. NOH: Yes. Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Very well. Then after -- if Samsung was convicted, in addition, Samsung would have the right to appeal both the verdict, as well as the amount of any fine or terms of probation that were placed upon it.

Do you understand? Or restitution for that matter.

Do you understand?

MR. NOH: Yes, I'm aware of that.

THE COURT: All right. Now, here's the deal: If you plead guilty today, if Samsung pleads guilty today, then Samsung will be giving up all of those rights.

Do you understand that? 1 2 MR. NOH: Yes, I understand. THE COURT: All right. And does Samsung want to do that 3 4 freely and voluntarily? 5 MR. NOH: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Is anyone putting pressure on Samsung to plead 7 guilty? 8 MR. NOH: No. THE COURT: All right. So let's see what it is that 9 10 Samsung did wrong. I'm going to read from your agreement here, 11 and then I'll paraphrase. I won't get it exactly right. But I'm taking it out of this agreement, so you tell me if it's 12 1.3 right. From as early as January of '97 until as late as March of 14 15 '06, Samsung SDI Company, Limited, was a corporation organized 16 and existing under the laws of Korea with its principal place 17 of business in Kiheung, Republic of Korea. True? 18 MR. NOH: That is correct, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: During that relative -- during that period, 20 Samsung SDI Company, Limited, was a producer of CDTs. Cathode -- what does that stand for? 21 22 MS. HEYE: Color display tubes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Color display tubes. All right. It was a 24 producer of color display tubes; was engaged in the sale of 25 color display tubes in the USA and elsewhere; and employed over

5,000 individuals. All true? 1 2 MR. NOH: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: CDTs, meaning color display tubes, are a type 4 of cathode ray tube. True? 5 MR. NOH: Yes. Yes, true. 6 THE COURT: Cathode ray tubes consist of evacuated glass 7 envelopes that contain an electron gun and a phosphorescent 8 True? screen. 9 MR. NOH: Yes. 10 THE COURT: When electrons strike the screen, light is 11 emitted, creating an image on the screen. 12 MR. NOH: Yes. 13 THE COURT: CDTs are the specialized cathode ray tubes 14 manufactured for use in computer monitors and other products 15 with similar technological requirements. 16 CDTs are distinguished from another type of specialized 17 cathode ray tubes, while color picture tubes, CPTs, which are 18 manufactured for use in televisions. True? 19 MR. NOH: Yes. 20 THE COURT: During this period, which, again, is '97 to 21 '06, Samsung SDI Company, Limited, through its officers and 22 employees, including high-level personnel, participated in a 23 conspiracy among major CDT producers, the primary purpose of 24 which was to fix prices, reduce output and allocate market 25 shares of CDTs sold in the USA and elsewhere. True?

MR. NOH: Yes.

1.3

2.2

THE COURT: In furtherance of the conspiracy, the defendant, through its officers and employees, engaged in discussions and attended meetings with representatives of other major CDT producers.

During these discussions and meetings, agreements were reached to fix prices, reduce output, and allocate market shares of CDTs to be sold in the USA and elsewhere. True?

MR. NOH: Yeah.

THE COURT: During the relevant period, meaning '97 to '06, CDTs sold by one or more of the conspirator firms and equipment and supplies necessary to the production and distribution of CDTs, as well as payment for CDTs, traveled in interstate and foreign commerce. True?

MR. NOH: Yes.

THE COURT: The business activities of the defendant, meaning Samsung SDI Company, Limited, and its co-conspirators, in connection with the production and sale of CDTs that were subjects of this conspiracy, were within the flow-up and substantially affected interstate and foreign trade-in commerce. True?

MR. NOH: Yes.

THE COURT: During the relevant period, the defendant CDT sales directly affected by the conspiracy to customers in the USA totaled approximately \$89 million. True?

1 MR. NOH: Yes, true. 2 THE COURT: Finally, acts in furtherance of this 3 conspiracy were carried out within the Northern District of 4 California. CDTs that were the subject of this conspiracy were 5 transported by one or more of the co-conspirators through this 6 district. True? 7 MR. NOH: Yes. THE COURT: All right. I just want to go back to one 8 9 other thing. It looks like in your agreement that the maximum 10 fine is the greatest of \$100 million or twice the gross 11 pecuniary gain the conspirators derived from the crime or twice the gross pecuniary loss caused to the victims of the crime by 12 1.3 the conspirators. 14 Have I said that right? MS. HEYE: Yes, Your Honor. 15 16 **THE COURT:** Do you understand that part? 17 MR. NOH: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So I ask Ms. Heye -- I'm sorry if I --19 am I saying it right? 20 MS. HEYE: You're getting it, um-hum. 21 THE COURT: -- Ms. Heye if I need to ask anything more or 22 anything more that should be put on the record? 23 MS. HEYE: That's sufficient, Your Honor. 24 I would like to go through what the defendant's 25 cooperation obligations were in response to your earlier

```
question about the material terms.
 1
 2
          THE COURT: Oh, yes, the cooperation.
 3
          Yes, please do that, Ms. Heye.
 4
          MS. HEYE: Sure. The defendant agrees to provide full
 5
     cooperation with the government's ongoing criminal
 6
     investigation into anticompetitive activity in the CDT and CPT
 7
     industry, including providing documents we request from both
 8
     overseas and the United States.
          This cooperation also includes using Samsung SDI's best
 9
10
     efforts to secure the ongoing, full and truthful corporation of
11
     all current and former officers, employees and directors of the
     company, except the individuals who have been carved out of
12
1.3
     both the obligations and protections of the plea agreement.
          THE COURT: All right. So do you understand that part?
14
          MR. NOH: Yes. Yes, I do.
15
          THE COURT: All right. And if there is a violation of
16
17
     that, what does the government get to do?
18
          MS. HEYE: Your Honor, then the government may seek to
19
    void the plea agreement.
20
          THE COURT: Void the plea or void the -- in other words,
21
     what happens to the guilty plea if you seek to do that?
22
          MS. HEYE: If they violate the plea agreement, then they
23
     shall be subject to prosecution for any federal crime,
24
     including the substantive offenses relating to the
25
     investigation resulting in this plea agreement.
```

THE COURT: Is that right? 1 2 MR. HALLING: Your Honor, if the terms are violated, the 3 agreement can be voided and the government can prosecute, 4 correct? 5 THE COURT: But does the guilty plea go away at that 6 point? 7 MR. HALLING: I believe so. THE COURT: Where does it say that? 8 MS. HEYE: Well, because of the -- because the plea 9 agreement -- because we're voiding our obligation under this 10 11 plea agreement, I think that then the company would not be held to the plea agreement anymore. 12 MR. HALLING: It would be as though the plea agreement 13 14 never occurred. 15 THE COURT: All right. Paragraph 20 covers this? 16 MS. HEYE: Um-hum. 17 THE COURT: Let me just look at it. 18 Okay. Anything more that needs to be said on that 19 subject? 20 MS. HEYE: No, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything the defense 22 counsel wish for me to go over? 23 MR. HALLING: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: So, Mr. Sang Soo Noh, any questions you have? 25 MR. NOH: No, I do not, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Company, Limited.

Okay. All right. And do you wish to go forward now, or do you want more time to think about it? MR. NOH: I would like to go ahead now, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So I will now ask you the official question, and that is: How does Samsung SDI Company, Limited, a defendant in this case, plead to the information filed against it in this case charging it with criminal violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, conspiracy and restraint of trade? Does Samsung SDI Company, Limited, plead guilty or not guilty? MR. NOH: Guilty. THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Sang Soo Noh, I'm going to do what you have asked me to do. I will find that you and Samsung are fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea; that you are aware, and Samsung is aware of the nature of the charges and the possible consequences of pleading guilty; that Samsung's plea of guilty is supported by a factual basis; that it is voluntary and informed. So the Court will accept your plea of guilty on behalf of Samsung SDI Company, Limited, and adjudge Samsung SDI Company, Limited, convicted of violating Section 1 as charged in the Sherman Act. So that important step is behind Samsung SDI

Now the Court will refer you to the probation department

```
for preparation of the presentence report, and then we will
 1
 2
     come back here later on to determine what the sentence ought to
 3
     be or whether to accept your proposed agreement.
 4
          Now, ordinarily we'd come back in 90 days, but you may
 5
     want time for your cooperation to run.
 6
          I'll do whatever you want to do that on that.
 7
          MS. HEYE: I think we'd like to come back in 90 days, Your
 8
     Honor.
 9
          MR. HALLING: We would like to come back in 90 days, Your
10
     Honor.
11
          THE COURT:
                     In 90 days. Fine. Set it for 90 days out.
          THE CLERK: August 16th at 2:00.
12
1.3
          THE COURT: All right.
14
          MS. HEYE: Would you like the original plea?
15
          THE COURT: Yes. Please give Tony the original plea, and
16
     you keep that, Tony. I don't need to see that. Just make
17
     sure -- let me just see if it's been properly signed.
18
          Has it been signed by everyone?
19
          MS. HEYE: Yes, Your Honor, it has.
20
          THE COURT: All right. Here you are.
21
          All right. Anything more I can do for you today?
22
          MS. HEYE: Just in an abundance of caution, can we confirm
23
     and put on the record that time between now and the sentencing
24
    hearing shall be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act on the
25
    basis that -- for a delay resulting from consideration by the
```

Court of our proposed plea agreement. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'll do that if everyone wants me 3 to, but I think since the quilty plea has been accepted, the --4 whether or not -- you see, the plea has been accepted. 5 MS. HEYE: Um-hum. 6 THE COURT: If I were to exceed the \$32 million here, for 7 some reason, then you would have a right to make a motion to 8 withdraw the guilty plea. I don't think what you're asking for is necessary, but out 9 of caution, we will do that. 10 11 Is there any objection? 12 MR. HALLING: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. For the reasons stated by counsel, 14 the time between today and August 16th will be excluded from 15 the speedy trial calculation. The Court finds the need for the continuance outweighs the 16 17 need for the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 Please do a written stipulation. 19 Would you do that? 20 MS. HEYE: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Anything more today? 2.2 MS. HEYE: No, Your Honor, that's it. 23 MR. HALLING: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Great. Thank you all. 25 MR. HALLING: Thank you, Your Honor.

```
THE COURT: Have a good day.
 1
          THE CLERK: Court is in recess.
 2
                      (Proceedings concluded at 4:00 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing 3 proceedings were reported by me, a certified shorthand 4 reporter, and were thereafter transcribed under my direction 5 into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete and 6 true record of said proceedings. 7 I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing proceedings and 8 caption named, or in any way interested in the outcome of the 9 10 cause named in said caption. 11 The fee charged and the page format for the transcript conform to the regulations of the judicial conference. 12 Furthermore, I certify the invoice does not contain 13 14 charges for the court reporter's certification page. 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 24th 16 day of May 2011. 17 /s/ Margaret Gurule 18 19 20 MARGARET "MARGO" GURULE, CSR 21 22 23 24 25